IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 13338 of 2000

Between:

Surendra Trading Company,

Rep. by its Partner T.Sudhkar Gupta,

S/o T.Nagabhushanam,
R/o Tadpatri, Ananatapur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

Assessing Authority and Secretary,

Agriculture Market Committee,
Tadpatri, Anantapur District.

.....RESPONDENT

* * *

ORDER:

Questioning the demand notice dated 29th June 2000, issued in Rc.No.213/8/99 (Letter No.87/A/96-97) by the Assessing Authority and Secretary, Agriculture Market Committee, Tadipatri, the present writ petition has been filed.

2. The said demand notice was issued demanding an amount of Rs.10,126/- on account of market fee payable by the petitioner for the year 1996-97. Though the petitioner raised dispute against such demand, but even as per the demand notice issued, there is a provision for appeal to the Joint Director of Marketing, Cuddapah, against the impugned proceedings. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to file such remedy now.

3. Having regard to the request made, the writ petition is disposed of permitting the petitioner to prefer an appeal before proper authority i.e., Joint Director of Marketing, Cuddapah, against the impugned demand. If such appeal is preferred within six weeks from today, the appellate authority shall consider the appeal on merits and pass appropriate orders.

4. Subject to the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

R.SUBHASH REDDY, J.

Date: 29th September 2005.

BSB